

Now we prove a generalization of Theorem 2.1. In the following proof, we integrate a w.s. s_1^γ with respect to some ordinal auxiliary moves λ_i^0 in a similar manner to the way in which the w.s. s_1^2 of Theorem 2.1 is integrated with respect to the moves λ_i^0 of G_0^2 . Otherwise, s_1^γ is integrated analogous to the manner in which s_0^γ of Theorem 2.2 is integrated.

Theorem 2.3. If $L[\#_\gamma^1]$ has indiscernibles, then $\text{Det}(\gamma * \Pi_1^0)_+^*$.

Proof: Assume $L[\#_\gamma^1]$ has an uncountable set C_1^γ of indiscernibles. Let

$$B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_1 \in \Pi_1^0, \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle, \text{ and } D$$

strongly witness $A \in (\gamma * \Pi_1^0)_+^*$, and let $\langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \cdot m \rangle$ witness that D is $\omega \cdot m - \Pi_1^0$, where $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq \beta$, there exist $R_i \in \Delta_1^0$ such that

- i.) $B_i(x, n) \leftrightarrow \forall k R_i(\bar{x}(k), n)$, and
- ii.) if $\neg R_i(\bar{x}(k), n)$ and $\forall j < k R_i(\bar{x}(j), n)$, then k is odd.

We show that G_A has a w.s. s . Condition (ii) helps to simplify the proof.

We describe an open game G_1^γ which has a w.s. $s_1^\gamma \in L[\#_\gamma^1]$. We integrate s_1^γ to get the w.s. $s \in L(\#_{\gamma+1}^1(0))$ for G_A . G_1^γ is similar to the game G_0^γ . The moves of G_1^γ and G_0^γ are the same with one exception: Once II plays $\langle \hat{t}_i^1, t_i^1 \rangle = \langle 0, t_i^1 \rangle$, in G_0^γ I and II respectively play integer moves $x(2i)$ and $x(2i + 1)$, whereas, in G_1^γ I and II respectively play ordinals λ_{2i}^0 and λ_{2i+1}^0 with their respective integer moves $x(2i)$ and $x(2i + 1)$. If II plays $\hat{t}_k^1 = 0$ for all $k \in \omega$, then the play of G_1^γ is

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{I} \quad T_0^1 \quad x(0), \lambda_0^0 \quad T_1^1 \quad x(2), \lambda_2^0 \quad \cdots \quad T_n^1 \quad x(2n), \lambda_{2n}^0 \\ \text{II} \quad \langle 0, t_0^1 \rangle \quad x(1), \lambda_1^0 \quad \langle 0, t_1^1 \rangle \quad x(3), \lambda_3^0 \quad \cdots \quad \langle 0, t_n^1 \rangle \quad x(2n+1), \lambda_{2n+1}^0 \quad \cdots \end{array}$$

If II plays $\hat{t}_{k(1)}^1 = 1$ and $\hat{t}_j^2 = 0$ for all $j \in \omega$, then the play of G_1^γ is

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{I} \quad T_0^1 \quad x(0), \lambda_0^0 \quad T_1^1 \quad x(2), \lambda_2^0 \quad \dots \\
 \text{II} \quad \langle 0, t_0^1 \rangle \quad x(1), \lambda_1^0 \quad \langle 0, t_1^1 \rangle \quad x(3), \lambda_3^0 \quad \dots \\
 \dots \quad T_{k(1)-1}^1 \quad x(2k(1)-2), \lambda_{2k(1)-2}^0 \quad T_{k(1)}^1 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_{k(1)-1}^1 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)-1), \lambda_{2k(1)-1}^0 \quad \langle 1, - \rangle \\
 T_0^2 \quad x(2k(1)), \lambda_0^1 \quad T_1^2 \quad x(2k(1)+2), \lambda_2^1 \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_0^2 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+1), \lambda_1^1 \quad \langle 0, t_1^2 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+3), \lambda_3^1 \quad \dots
 \end{array}$$

In general, if II plays $\hat{t}_{k(j)}^j = 1$ for $j \leq \delta$ and $\hat{t}_j^{\delta+1} = 0$ for all $j \in \omega$, then the

play of G_1^γ is

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{I} \quad T_0^1 \quad x(0), \lambda_0^0 \quad T_1^1 \quad x(2), \lambda_2^0 \quad \dots \\
 \text{II} \quad \langle 0, t_0^1 \rangle \quad x(1), \lambda_1^0 \quad \langle 0, t_1^1 \rangle \quad x(3), \lambda_3^0 \quad \dots \\
 \dots \quad T_{k(1)-1}^1 \quad x(2k(1)-2), \lambda_{2k(1)-2}^0 \quad T_{k(1)}^1 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_{k(1)-1}^1 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)-1), \lambda_{2k(1)-1}^0 \quad \langle 1, - \rangle \\
 T_0^2 \quad x(2k(1)), \lambda_0^1 \quad T_1^2 \quad x(2k(1)+2), \lambda_2^1 \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_0^2 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+1), \lambda_1^1 \quad \langle 0, t_1^2 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+3), \lambda_3^1 \quad \dots \\
 \dots \\
 \dots \quad T_{k(\delta)-1}^\delta \quad x(2k(1)\dots+2k(\delta)-2), \lambda_{2k(\delta)-2}^{\delta-1} \quad T_{k(\delta)}^\delta \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_{k(\delta)-1}^\delta \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)-1), \lambda_{2k(\delta)-1}^{\delta-1} \quad \langle 1, - \rangle \\
 T_0^{\delta+1} \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)), \lambda_0^\delta \quad T_1^{\delta+1} \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+2), \lambda_2^\delta \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_0^{\delta+1} \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+1), \lambda_1^\delta \quad \langle 0, t_1^{\delta+1} \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+3), \lambda_3^\delta \quad \dots \\
 \dots \quad T_i^{\delta+1} \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+2i), \lambda_{2i}^\delta \quad T_{i+1}^{\delta+1} \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+2i+2), \lambda_{2i+2}^\delta \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_i^{\delta+1} \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+2i+1), \lambda_{2i+1}^\delta \quad \langle 0, t_{i+1}^{\delta+1} \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+2i+3), \lambda_{2i+3}^\delta \quad \dots
 \end{array}$$

Whenever $\hat{t}_{k(\delta)}^\delta = 1$ for $1 \leq \delta \leq \gamma$, the play of G_1^γ is

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{I} \quad T_0^1 \quad x(0), \lambda_0^0 \quad T_1^1 \quad x(2), \lambda_2^0 \quad \dots \\
 \text{II} \quad \langle 0, t_0^1 \rangle \quad x(1), \lambda_1^0 \quad \langle 0, t_1^1 \rangle \quad x(3), \lambda_3^0 \quad \dots \\
 \dots \quad T_{k(1)-1}^1 \quad x(2k(1)-2), \lambda_{2k(1)-2}^0 \quad T_{k(1)}^1 \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_{k(1)-1}^1 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)-1), \lambda_{2k(1)-1}^0 \quad \langle 1, - \rangle \\
 T_0^2 \quad x(2k(1)), \lambda_0^1 \quad T_1^2 \quad x(2k(1)+2), \lambda_2^1 \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_0^2 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+1), \lambda_1^1 \quad \langle 0, t_1^2 \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+3), \lambda_3^1 \quad \dots \\
 \dots \\
 \dots \quad T_{k(\delta)-1}^\delta \quad x(2k(1)\dots+2k(\delta)-2), \lambda_{2k(\delta)-2}^{\delta-1} \quad T_{k(\delta)}^\delta \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_{k(\delta)-1}^\delta \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)-1), \lambda_{2k(\delta)-1}^{\delta-1} \quad \langle 1, - \rangle \\
 T_0^{\delta+1} \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)), \lambda_0^\delta \quad T_1^{\delta+1} \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+2), \lambda_2^\delta \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \quad \langle 0, t_0^{\delta+1} \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+1), \lambda_1^\delta \quad \langle 0, t_1^{\delta+1} \rangle \quad x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\delta)+3), \lambda_3^\delta \quad \dots \\
 \dots
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \dots & T_{k(\gamma)-1}^\gamma & & x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\gamma)-2), \lambda_{2k(\gamma)-2}^{\gamma-1} & T_{k(\gamma)}^\gamma \\
& & & \langle 0, t_{k(\gamma)-1}^\gamma \rangle & & x(2k(1)+\dots+2k(\gamma)-1), \lambda_{2k(\gamma)-1}^{\gamma-1} & \langle 1, - \rangle \\
x(2k(0)+2k(1)+\dots+2k(\gamma)), \xi_0 & & & x(2k(0)+2k(1)+\dots+2k(\gamma)+2), \xi_2 & & \dots & \\
x(2k(0)+2k(1)+\dots+2k(\gamma)+1), \xi_1 & & & x(2k(0)+2k(1)+\dots+2k(\gamma)+3), \xi_3 & & &
\end{array}$$

Any λ_i^0 's played must be properly ordered with respect to $\langle D_\alpha | \alpha < \omega \cdot m \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_{\gamma+1}^1(0))} | i < m \rangle$. Otherwise, the moves of G_1^γ must satisfy all of the conditions required of the moves of G_0^γ . In particular, the λ_i^δ 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha | \alpha < \omega \cdot (k(\delta) + 1) \rangle$ using

$$\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_{\gamma-\delta+1}^1(T_{k(1)}^1, T_{k(2)}^2, \dots, T_{k(\delta)}^\delta))} | i \leq k(\delta) \rangle,$$

and any ξ_i 's played must be properly ordered with respect to

$$\langle A_\alpha | \alpha < \omega \cdot (k(\gamma) + 1) \rangle \text{ using } \langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1^1(T_{k(1)}^1, T_{k(2)}^2, \dots, T_{k(\gamma)}^\gamma))} | i \leq k(\gamma) \rangle.$$

If $\hat{t}_{k(j)}^j = 1$ for $1 \leq j < \delta$, then G_0^γ contains a sequence

$$\langle (T_n^\delta; \langle \hat{t}_n^\delta, t_n^\delta \rangle) | \forall j < n \hat{t}_j^\delta = 0 \rangle$$

of Borel auxiliary moves which is related to B_δ via R_δ , and each

$$T_n^\delta \in L(\langle T_{k(j)}^j | 1 \leq j < \delta \rangle)[\#_{\gamma+1-\delta}^1].$$

Player I wins G_1^γ iff a (legal) position (of odd length) is reached at which II cannot make a (legal) move. G_1^γ is an open game and therefore we define, for each ordinal α , P_α as the set of positions with ordinal α and let $P = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{ON}} P_\alpha$. If p is a legal position in G_1^γ , let ℓ_p denote the set of legal positions in G_1^γ consistent with p . The set ℓ of legal positions for G_1^γ is in $L[\#_\gamma^1]$.

Use $\langle P_\alpha | \alpha \in \text{ON} \rangle$ and Lemma 0.14 to define a wellordering \prec of ℓ and the canonical w.s. s_1^γ for G_1^γ so that Lemma 2.3.1 (below) and the following hold: s_1^γ is in $L[\#_\gamma^1]$, and if p is a legal position in G_1^γ , then $s_1^\gamma | \ell_p$ is a w.s. for

$(G_1^\gamma)_p$ and is definable in any inner model of ZF in which $\prec|\ell_p$ is definable.

Lemma 2.3.1. Let p be a legal position in G_1^γ . Recall $\#_0^1(U) = U$ for any U . Then $s_1^\gamma|\ell_p$ is a w.s. for $(G_1^\gamma)_p$ and has the following property:

iii.) If p includes the move $\langle \hat{t}_{k(j)}^j, t_{k(j)}^j \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq \delta$ and

$$\vec{T}_\delta = \langle T_{k(j)}^j | 1 \leq j \leq \delta \rangle,$$

then $s_1^\gamma|\ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{T}_\delta)[\#_{\gamma-\delta}^1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_{\gamma+1-\delta}^1(\vec{T}_\delta))} | i \leq k(\delta) \rangle$.

In particular,

iv.) if p includes the move $\langle \hat{t}_{k(1)}^1, t_{k(1)}^1 \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $s_1^\gamma|\ell_p$ is definable in $L(T_{k(1)}^1)[\#_{\gamma-1}^1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_\gamma^1(T_{k(1)}^1))} | i \leq k(1) \rangle$.

By Lemma 2.3.1 and Induction Hypothesis, we have the following:

Lemma 2.3.2. Suppose

$$p_k = (T_0^1; \langle 0, t_0^1 \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 0, t_1^1 \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots$$

$$\dots; T_{k-1}^1; \langle 0, t_{k-1}^1 \rangle; x(2k-2), \lambda_{2k-2}^0; x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1; \langle 1, - \rangle)$$

is a legal position of G_1^γ . Let B be the set witnessed to be $((\gamma-1) * \Pi_1^0)_+$ by

$B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_2, \langle A_\alpha | \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and k . If $B_1(x, k)$, then

$$x \in B(T_k^1; \vec{t}^1, \bar{x}(2k)) \Leftrightarrow x \in A(T_k^1; \vec{t}^1, \bar{x}(2k)).$$

Moreover, the w.s. $s_1^\gamma|\ell_{p_k}$ can be integrated so as to obtain a w.s. $s' \in$

$L(\#_\gamma^1(T_k^1))$ for $B(T_k^1; t_0^1, t_1^1, \dots, t_{k-1}^1, \bar{x}(2k))$ so that the following hold:

v.) s' is a w.s. of the player for whom s_1^γ is a w.s.

vi.) If s' is a w.s. for I, p is a position consistent with s' , and the moves in p of player II are consistent with $\bar{x}(2k+1)$ and $\langle t_j^1 | j < k \rangle$, then $p \in$

T_k^1 . Therefore, if s' is a w.s. for I and x is a play consistent with s' , $x \in B(t_0^1, t_1^1, t_2^1, \dots, t_{k-1}^1, \bar{x}(2k))$. If in addition $B_1(x, k)$, then $x \in A(\bar{t}^1, \bar{x}(2k))$.

vii.) If p is a (legal) position of the game $A(T_k^1)$ consistent with s' such that the moves in p of the player for whom s' is not a w.s. are consistent with \bar{t}^1 and p is consistent with s' , then p is consistent with \bar{t}^1 .

We integrate s_1^γ similarly to the manner in which s_0^γ is integrated in Theorem 2.2, except that s_1^γ is integrated with respect to the λ_i^0 's using the uncountable set C_1^γ of indiscernibles for $L[\#_\gamma^1]$. We use the following lemma to integrate s_1^γ with respect to the λ_i^0 's.

Lemma 2.3.3. Let

$$p = (T_0^1; \langle 0, t_0^1 \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 0, t_1^1 \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots \\ \dots; T_{n-1}^1; \langle 0, t_{n-1}^1 \rangle; x(2n-2), \lambda_{2n-2}^0; x(2n-1), \lambda_{2n-1}^0; T_n^1; \langle \hat{t}_n^1, t_n^1 \rangle)$$

and

$$p' = (U_0^1; \langle 0, u_0^1 \rangle; x'(0), \tilde{\lambda}_0^0; x'(1), \tilde{\lambda}_1^0; U_1^1; \langle 0, u_1^1 \rangle; x'(2), \tilde{\lambda}_2^0; x'(3), \tilde{\lambda}_3^0; \dots \\ \dots; U_{n-1}^1; \langle 0, u_{n-1}^1 \rangle; x'(2n-2), \tilde{\lambda}_{2n-2}^0; x'(2n-1), \tilde{\lambda}_{2n-1}^0; U_n^1; \langle \hat{u}_n^1, u_n^1 \rangle)$$

be legal positions of G_1^γ consistent with s_1^γ .

(1) If s_1^γ is a w.s. for I, λ_{2i-1}^0 and $\tilde{\lambda}_{2i-1}^0$ are elements of C_1^γ for $i \leq n$, and both the Borel auxiliary moves and the integer moves of player II are the same for p and p' (i.e. $x(2i-1) = x'(2i-1)$, $t_i^1 = u_i^1$, and $\langle \hat{t}_n^1, t_n^1 \rangle = \langle \hat{u}_n^1, u_n^1 \rangle$), then both the Borel auxiliary moves and integer moves of player I are the same for both p and p' (i.e. $x(2i) = x'(2i)$ and $T_i^1 = U_i^1$).

(2) If s_1^γ is a w.s. for II, λ_{2i}^0 and $\tilde{\lambda}_{2i}^0$ are elements of C_1^γ for $i < n$, and both the Borel auxiliary moves and the integer moves of player I are the same for p and p' (i.e. $x(2i) = x'(2i)$ and $T_i^1 = U_i^1$), then both the Borel auxiliary moves and integer moves of player II are the same for both p and p' (i.e. $x(2i-1) = \tilde{x}(2i-1)$, $t_i^1 = u_i^1$, and $\langle \hat{t}_n^1, t_n^1 \rangle = \langle \hat{u}_n^1, u_n^1 \rangle$).

Now we integrate s_1^γ and obtain the w.s. s for G_A .

Claim I: Player I has a w.s. for G_A if he has one for G_1^γ .

Assume $\langle \rangle \in P$ so that $s_1^\gamma \in L[\#_\gamma^1]$ is a w.s. for I in G_1^γ . We use s_1^γ to define a w.s. s for I in G_A . Let

$$T_0^1 = s_1^\gamma(\langle \rangle), \langle \hat{t}_0^1, t_0^1 \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle, \text{ and } p_0 = (T_0^1; \langle 1, - \rangle).$$

By Lemma 2.3.2(v), obtain a w.s. s_1 for $A(T_0^1)$ by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_0}$, and let $s(p) = s_0(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i R_1(\bar{x}(j), 0)$. If $R_1(\bar{x}(i), 0)$ holds at every position, then $x \in A(T_0^1)$ so that $x \in A$ by Lemma 2.3.2(vi).

Suppose we reach a position such that $\neg R_1(\bar{x}(i_0), 0)$ and $\forall j < i_0 R_1(\bar{x}(j), 0)$.

We have defined

$$s(x(1); x(3); \dots; x(2j-1)) = x(2j) \text{ for } 2j < i_0. \quad (\text{viii})$$

Since i_0 is odd by (ii), let $\langle \hat{t}_0^1, t_0^1 \rangle = \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle$ and $p'_0 = (T_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle)$. Let $(x(0), \lambda_0^0)$ be $s_1^\gamma(p'_0)$ and $s(\) = x(0)$. Choose

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1^0 \in C_1^\gamma \text{ and } p_1 = p'_0 * (x(0), \tilde{\lambda}_1^0; x(1), \tilde{\lambda}_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 1, - \rangle)$$

so that p_1 is consistent with s_1^γ . By Lemma 2.3.2(v), obtain a w.s. s_1 for

$A(T_1^1; t_0^1, \bar{x}(2))$ by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma | \ell_{p_1}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_1}$, and let $s(p) = s_1(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i R_1(\bar{x}(j), 1)$. By (vii), this definition of s is consistent with (viii). If $R_1(\bar{x}(i), 1)$ holds at every position, then $x \in A(T_1^1; t_0^1, \bar{x}(2))$ so that $x \in A$ by Lemma 2.3.2. If we reach a position such that $\neg R_1(\bar{x}(i_1), 1)$ and $\forall j < i_1 R_1(\bar{x}(j), 1)$, then continue to define s by integrating s_1^γ in the same manner as above.

In general, suppose we reach a position such that

$$\neg R_1(\bar{x}(i_j), j) \text{ and } \forall i < i_j R_1(\bar{x}(i), j)$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1$. Choose $\lambda_1^0, \lambda_3^0, \dots, \lambda_{2k-1}^0 \in C_1^\gamma$ and

$$p_k = (T_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_1) \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots$$

$$\dots; T_{k-1}^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}) \rangle; x(2k-2), \lambda_{2k-2}^0; x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1; \langle 1, - \rangle)$$

so that p_k is consistent with s_1^γ . ($\lambda_1^0 \in C_1^\gamma$ may be different than the ordinal auxiliary move $\tilde{\lambda}_1^0$ of p_1 , but by Lemma 2.3.3, the integer moves and Borel auxiliary moves of p_1 are included in p_k .) By Lemma 2.3.2(v), obtain a w.s. $s_k \in L(\#_\gamma^1(T_k^1))$ for

$$A(T_k^1; t_0^1, t_1^1, \dots, t_{k-1}^1, \bar{x}(2k))$$

by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma | \ell_{p_k}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_k}$, and let $s(p) = s_k(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i R_1(\bar{x}(j), k)$. If we reach a position $\bar{x}(i_k)$ such that $\neg R_1(\bar{x}(i_k), k)$, then the position

$$p'_k = (T_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_1) \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots$$

$$\dots; T_{k-1}^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}) \rangle; x(2k-2), \lambda_{2k-2}^0; x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_k) \rangle)$$

is consistent with s_1^γ .

Claim: The strategy s of player I is a w.s. for G_A .

Let x be a play of G_A consistent with s . First assume there is a least k such that $B_1(x, k)$. Then for each $j < k$, there is a least i_j such that $\neg R_1(\bar{x}(i_j), j)$. By the definition of s , there exist

$$T_0^1, T_1^1, T_2^1, \dots, T_k^1 \text{ and } \lambda_1^0, \lambda_3^0, \lambda_5^0, \dots, \lambda_{2k-1}^0 \in C_1^\gamma$$

such that the position

$$\begin{aligned} p_k = & (T_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_1) \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots \\ & \dots; T_{k-1}^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}) \rangle; x(2k-2), \lambda_{2k-2}^0; x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1; \langle 1, - \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

is consistent with s_1^γ . We obtained the w.s. s_k for

$$A(T_k^1; \bar{x}(i_0), \bar{x}(i_1), \dots, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}), \bar{x}(2k))$$

by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma|_{\ell_{p_k}}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_k}$ and let $s(p) = s_k(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i R_1(\bar{x}(j), k)$. Since $B_1(x, k)$, $\forall j R_1(\bar{x}(j), k)$. Therefore, x is a play consistent with s_k so that

$$x \in A(T_k^1; \bar{x}(i_0), \bar{x}(i_1), \dots, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}), \bar{x}(2k)).$$

Clearly, x is consistent with each $\bar{x}(i_j)$ and by Lemma 2.3.2(vi), $x \in T_k^1$.

Thus, $x \in A$ if $\exists k B_1(x, k)$.

Now assume $\neg B_1(x, k)$ for all k . Then for each k , there is a least i_k such that $\neg R_1(\bar{x}(i_k), k)$. By the definition of s , for each k , there exists $\lambda_1^0, \lambda_3^0, \lambda_5^0, \dots, \lambda_{2k-1}^0 \in C_1^\gamma$ such that the position

$$p'_k = (T_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_1) \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots$$

...; $T_{k-1}^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}) \rangle; x(2k-2), \lambda_{2k-2}^0; x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_k) \rangle$)

is consistent with s_1^γ . Show $x \in D_{\omega \cdot m}^* = D$ by using Lemma 2.3.3, the positions p'_k , and the elements of C_1^γ to integrate s_1^γ with respect to the λ_{2i+1}^0 's. Since $x \in D$ and $\forall k \neg B_1(x, k)$, $x \in A$. Thus, in either case, x is a win for I, and s is a w.s. of I.

Claim II: Player II has a w.s. for G_A if he has one for G_1^γ .

Again, we use the uncountable set C_1^γ of indiscernibles for $L[\#_\gamma^1]$ to integrate s_1^γ with respect to λ_i^0 's and otherwise we integrate s_1^γ similarly to the manner in which s_0^γ is integrated in Theorem 2.2.

Assume $\langle \rangle \notin P$. Let

$$T_0^1 = \{\text{positions } t \text{ in } G_A \mid \forall T' \in L[\#_\gamma^1] \langle 0, t \rangle \neq s_1^\gamma(T')\}.$$

Then $\forall t \in T_0^1 \forall T' \in L[\#_\gamma^1] \langle 0, t \rangle \neq s_1^\gamma(T')$. (ix)

x.) If $(T'; \langle 0, t \rangle)$ is a legal position of G_1^γ , then for each ordinal α , $P_\alpha \cap \ell_{(T'; \langle 0, t \rangle)}$ is definable in $L[\#_\gamma^1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_{\gamma+1}^1(0))} \mid i < m \rangle$.

Therefore, $T_0^1 \in L[\#_\gamma^1]$. Also, by (ix), $\langle 1, - \rangle = s_1^\gamma(T_0^1)$. Let $p_0 = (T_0^1, \langle 1, - \rangle)$. By Lemma 2.3.2(v), obtain a w.s. s_0 for $A(T_0^1)$ by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma \upharpoonright \ell_{p_0}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_0}$, and let $s(p) = s_0(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i \bar{x}(j) \in T_0^1$. If $\bar{x}(i) \in T_0^1$ holds at every position, then $x \notin A(T_0^1)$ so that $x \notin A$.

Suppose we reach a position such that $\bar{x}(i_0) \notin T_0^1$ and $\forall j < i_0 \bar{x}(j) \in T_0^1$.

We have defined

$$s(x(0); x(2); \dots; x(2j)) = x(2j + 1) \text{ for } 2j < i_0. \quad (\text{xi})$$

Since $\bar{x}(i_0) \notin T_0^1$, there exists $\tilde{T}_0^1 \in L[\#\gamma^1]$ such that $\langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle = s_1^\gamma(\tilde{T}_0^1)$.

Let $\langle \tilde{t}_0^1, t_0^1 \rangle = \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle$ and let $p'_0 = (\tilde{T}_0^1; \langle 0, t_0^1 \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0)$ be a position consistent with s_1^γ in which $\lambda_0^0 \in C_\gamma^1$. Let $(x(1), \lambda_1^0) = s_1^\gamma(p'_0)$ and define $s(x(0))$ to be $x(1)$. Let

$$T_1^1 = \{\text{positions } t \text{ in } G_A(\bar{x}(2), t_0^1) \mid \forall T' \in L[\#\gamma^1] \langle 0, t \rangle \neq s_1^\gamma(p'_0 * (x(1), \lambda_1^0; T'))\}.$$

Then $T_1^1 \in L[\#\gamma^1]$ and $\langle 1, - \rangle = s_1^\gamma(p'_0 * (x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1))$. Let

$$p_1 = p'_0 * (x(1), \lambda_1^0; T_1^1; \langle 1, - \rangle).$$

By Lemma 2.3.2(v), obtain a w.s. s_1 for $A(T_1^1; t_0^1, \bar{x}(2))$ by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma|_{\ell_{p_1}}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_1}$, and let $s(p) = s_1(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i R_1(\bar{x}(j), 1)$. By Lemma 2.3.2(vi), this definition of s is consistent with (xi). If $\bar{x}(i) \in T_1^1$ holds at every position, then $x \notin A(T_1^1; t_0^1, \bar{x}(2))$ so that $x \notin A$. If we reach a position such that $\bar{x}(i_1) \notin T_1^1$, then continue to define s by integrating s_1^γ in the same manner as above.

In general, suppose we reach a position at which the following hold:

$$t_j^1 = \bar{x}(i_j) \notin T_j^1 \text{ and } \forall i < i_j \bar{x}(i) \in T_j^1 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1,$$

and $\bar{x}(2k-1)$ is consistent with s . Also, assume $\tilde{T}_0^1, \tilde{T}_1^1, \tilde{T}_2^1, \dots, \tilde{T}_{k-1}^1$ satisfy the following: For each $j \leq k$, there exists a sequence $\vec{\lambda}_j = \langle \lambda_{2i}^0 \mid i < j \rangle$ of elements from C_1^γ and a position

$$p'_{j-1} = (\tilde{T}_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; \tilde{T}_1^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_1) \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots \\ \dots; \tilde{T}_{j-1}^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_{j-1}) \rangle; x(2j-2), \lambda_{2j-2}^0)$$

which is consistent with s_1^γ . ($\vec{\lambda}_j$ is not necessarily a subsequence of $\vec{\lambda}_{j+1}$.)

Define $s(x(0); x(2); \dots; x(2k-2)) = x(2k-1)$ to be such that $p'_{k-1} * (x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0)$ is consistent with s_1^γ for some λ_{2k-1}^0 . Let

$$T_k^1 = \{\text{positions } t \text{ in } G_A \text{ consistent with } t_0^1, t_1^1, t_2^1, \dots, t_{k-1}^1, \text{ and } \bar{x}(2k) | \\ \forall T' \in L[\#_\gamma^1] \langle 0, t \rangle \neq s_1^\gamma(p'_{k-1} * (x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T'))\}.$$

Then $T_k^1 \in L[\#_\gamma^1]$ and $\langle 1, - \rangle = s_1^\gamma(p'_{k-1} * (x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1))$. Let

$$p_k = p'_{k-1} * (x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1; \langle 1, - \rangle).$$

By Lemma 2.3.2(v), obtain a w.s. $s_k \in L(\#_\gamma^1(T_k^1))$ for $A(T_k^1; t_0^1, t_1^1, \dots, t_{k-1}^1, \bar{x}(2k))$ by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma | \ell_{p_k}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_k}$, and let

$$s(p) = s_k(p)$$

for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i \bar{x}(j) \in T_k^1$.

Claim: The strategy s of player II is a w.s. for G_A .

Let x be a play of G_A consistent with s . First consider the case in which there is a least k such that $\forall i \bar{x}(i) \in T_k^1$. Then for each $j < k$, there is a least i_j such that $\bar{x}(i_j) \notin T_j^1$. By the definition of $x(2j+1) = s(x(0); x(2); \dots; x(2j))$ for $j < k$ and by the definition of the T_i^1 's, there exist

$$\tilde{T}_0^1, \tilde{T}_1^1, \tilde{T}_2^1, \dots, \tilde{T}_{k-1}^1 \in L[\#_\gamma^1]; \lambda_0^0, \lambda_2^0, \lambda_4^0, \dots, \lambda_{2k-2}^0 \in C_1^\gamma; \text{ and} \\ p_k = (\tilde{T}_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; \tilde{T}_1^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_1) \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots \\ \dots; \tilde{T}_{k-1}^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}) \rangle; x(2k-2), \lambda_{2k-2}^0; x(2k-1), \lambda_{2k-1}^0; T_k^1; \langle 1, - \rangle)$$

such that p_k is consistent with s_1^γ . We obtained the w.s. s_k for

$$A(T_k^1; \bar{x}(i_0), \bar{x}(i_1), \dots, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}), \bar{x}(2k))$$

by integrating the w.s. $s_1^\gamma | \ell_{p_k}$ for $(G_1^\gamma)_{p_k}$ and let $s(p) = s_k(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall j \leq i \bar{x}(j) \in T_k^1$. Since we are assuming $\forall j \bar{x}(j) \in T_k^1$, x is a play consistent with s_k so that $x \notin A(T_k^1; \bar{x}(i_0), \bar{x}(i_1), \dots, \bar{x}(i_{k-1}), \bar{x}(2k))$. Therefore, $x \notin A$.

Now assume for each k , there is a least i_k such that $\bar{x}(i_k) \notin T_k^1$. By the definition of $x(2j+1) = s(x(0); x(2); \dots; x(2j))$ for $j < k$ and by the definition of the T_i^1 's, there exist for each j , $\tilde{T}_j^1 \in L[\#\gamma^1]$ such that the following hold:

For each k , there exists $\lambda_0^0, \lambda_2^0, \lambda_4^0, \dots, \lambda_{2k}^0 \in C_1^\gamma$ such that the position

$$p'_k = (\tilde{T}_0^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_0) \rangle; x(0), \lambda_0^0; x(1), \lambda_1^0; \tilde{T}_1^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_1) \rangle; x(2), \lambda_2^0; x(3), \lambda_3^0; \dots \\ \dots; \tilde{T}_k^1; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i_k) \rangle; x(2k), \lambda_{2k}^0)$$

is consistent with s_1^γ . Since each such position is consistent with s_1^γ , $\forall k \neg R_1(\bar{x}(i_k), k)$ so that $\forall k \neg B_1(x, k)$. Show $x \notin D$ by using Lemma 2.3.3, the positions p'_k , and the elements of C_1^γ to integrate s_1^γ with respect to the λ_{2i}^0 's. Since $\forall k \neg B(x, k)$ and $x \notin D$, x is a win for II. Consequently, s is a w.s. in G_A of the player for whom s_1^γ is w.s. ■

Definition 2.3. Let $B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_1 \in \Pi_1^0$, $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $k \in \omega$ strongly witness $A \in (\gamma * \Pi_1^0)_+^*$. Then we refer to the auxiliary game G_1^γ described in the Proof of Theorem 2.3 as *the G_1^γ auxiliary game determined by $B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_1 \in \Pi_1^0$, $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $k \in \omega$ if $m = k + 1$ and $D_\alpha = A_\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega \cdot m$.*

Suppose $\vec{U} = \langle U_i \mid i < \beta \rangle$ and $\vec{u} = \langle u_i \mid i < \gamma \rangle$ respectively are a finite

sequence of I-imposed subgames of G_A and a sequence of legal positions of G_A . Then *the* $G_1^\gamma(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ auxiliary game determined by

$$B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_1, \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle, \text{ and } k \in \omega$$

is the game in which player I wins iff a position is reached at which II cannot make a (legal) move, which has exactly the same moves as G_1^γ , and these moves are subject to the following conditions:

i.) The sequence $\langle (T_n^\delta; \langle \hat{t}_n^\delta, t_n^\delta \rangle) \mid \forall j < n \hat{t}_j^\delta = 0 \rangle$ of Borel auxiliary moves and the Π_1^0 set B_δ are related via R_{B_δ} .

ii.) Each $\bar{x}(i) \in \bigcap_{j < \beta} U_j$ and each $\bar{x}(i)$ must be consistent with every u_j .

iii.) $T_i^\delta \in L(\vec{U}, \vec{T}_{\delta-1})[\#_{\gamma+1-\delta}^1]$. Recall $\vec{T}_{\delta-1} = \langle T_{k(j)}^j \mid 1 \leq j < \delta \rangle$, where $\hat{t}_{k(j)}^j = 1$.

iv.) Let $k(0) = k$. The λ_i^{δ} 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \cdot (k(\delta) + 1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_{\gamma+1-\delta}^1(\vec{U}, \vec{T}_\delta))} \mid i \leq k(\delta) \rangle$

v.) If $\hat{t}_{k(\gamma)}^\gamma = 1$, the ξ_i 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \cdot (k(\gamma) + 1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1^1(\vec{U}, \vec{T}))} \mid i \leq k(\gamma) \rangle$.

These conditions are analogous to the conditions for the moves of G_1^γ . The first is a condition which the moves of G_1^γ also must satisfy. The others are derived by changing the conditions for the moves of G_1^γ so that we obtain conditions which are consistent with \vec{U} and \vec{u} . We refer to $G_1^\gamma(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ instead of the $G_1^\gamma(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ auxiliary game determined by $B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_1, \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $k \in \omega$ whenever $B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_1, \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $k \in \omega$

are clear from the context. Analogous to Theorem 2.3, we have the following:

Corollary 2.3.1. Let $B_\gamma, B_{\gamma-1}, B_{\gamma-2}, \dots, B_1, \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle, k, A, \vec{U}$, and \vec{u} be as in Definition 2.3. Let p be a legal position of a game G^* such that the moves of G^* following p constitute a play of $G_1^\gamma(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$. Suppose \vec{U} has a wellordering which is definable in $L(\vec{U})$, $\#_{\gamma+1}^1(\vec{U})$ exists, and s^* is a w.s. for G^* such that $s^*|_{\ell_p} \in L(\vec{U})[\#_\gamma^1]$. Then $s^*|_{\ell_p}$ can be integrated so as to obtain a w.s. $s_p \in L(\#_{\gamma+1}^1(\vec{U}))$ for $A(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ such that the following hold:

- i.) s_p is a w.s. of the player for whom s^* is a w.s.
- ii.) If s^* is a w.s. for I, \hat{p} is a position consistent with s_p , and the moves in \hat{p} of player II are consistent with \vec{u} , then $\hat{p} \in \bigcap_{i < \beta} U_i$. Therefore, if s^* is a w.s. for I and x is a play consistent with s_p , then $x \in A(\vec{u})$.
- iii.) Let \hat{p} be a position consistent with s_p and with \vec{U} . If the moves in \hat{p} of the player for whom s_p is not a w.s. are consistent with \vec{u} , then \hat{p} is consistent with \vec{u} . ■