

Now we prove that $\text{Det}(\Pi_1^0, \Sigma_1^0)^*$ follows from $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1] \models$ “every real has a sharp.” In the following proof, we integrate a w.s. for an auxiliary game G^2 . G^2 contains the moves of the auxiliary games G^0 and G^1 . Furthermore, we shall use Theorems 1.0 and 1.1 to obtain a w.s. for G_p^2 whenever p is a legal position of G^2 such that the moves of G^2 following p constitute a play of either $G^0(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ or $G^1(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ for some \vec{U} and \vec{u} .

Theorem 1.2. If $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1] \models$ “every real has a sharp,” then

$$\text{Det}(\Pi_1^0, \Sigma_1^0)^*.$$

Proof: Assume $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1] \models$ “every real has a sharp.” Let

$$B \in \Pi_1^0, C \in \Sigma_1^0, \text{ and } \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$$

strongly witness $A \in (\Pi_1^0, \Sigma_1^0)^*$. There exist R_B and R_C in Δ_1^0 such that

- i.) $B(x, n) \leftrightarrow \forall k R_B(\bar{x}(k), n)$;
- ii.) $C(x, n) \leftrightarrow \exists k R_C(\bar{x}(k), n)$;
- iii.) if $\neg R_B(\bar{x}(k), n)$ and $\forall j < k R_B(\bar{x}(j), n)$, then k is odd; and
- iv.) if $R_C(\bar{x}(k), n)$, and $\forall j < k \neg R_C(\bar{x}(j), n)$, then k is odd.

We show that G_A has a w.s. s . Conditions (iii) and (iv) help to simplify the proof.

We describe an open game G^2 which has a w.s. $s^2 \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$. We integrate s^2 to get the w.s. $s \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$ for G_A . The moves of G^2 are the integer moves $x(i)$ of G_A as well as two types of auxiliary moves: Borel auxiliary moves and ordinal auxiliary moves. In G^2 , first the players play Borel auxil-

ary moves Q and $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ which are determined by the Σ_1^0 set $\{q | \exists k R_C(q, k)\}$:

$$G^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{I} \\ \text{II} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Q \\ \langle \hat{q}, q \rangle \end{array} \cdots$$

Let $\vec{Q} = \langle Q | \hat{q} = 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{q} = \langle q | \hat{q} = 0 \rangle$. G^2 contains a sequence $\langle (T_n; \langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle) | \forall j < n \hat{t}_j = 0 \rangle$ of Borel auxiliary moves. The sequence

$$\langle (T_n; \langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle) | \forall j < n \hat{t}_j = 0 \rangle$$

and the Π_1^0 set B are related via R_B , and player I may only play $T_i \in L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$. If II plays $\hat{q} = 1$, then the moves of G^2 following $\langle 1, - \rangle$ constitute a play of $G^0(Q)$. If II plays $\hat{q} = 0$, then the moves of G^2 following $\langle 0, q \rangle$ constitute a play of $G^1(\vec{Q}; \vec{q})$ (i.e. of $G^1(q)$).

If $\hat{q} = 1$ and all $\hat{t}_i = 0$, then the play of G^2 is

$$G^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{I} \\ \text{II} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Q \\ \langle \hat{q}, q \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ \langle 0, t_0 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(0) \\ x(1) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \langle 0, t_1 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2) \\ x(3) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_2 \\ \langle 0, t_2 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(4) \\ x(5) \end{array} \cdots$$

If $\hat{q} = 0$ and all $\hat{t}_i = 0$, then the play of G^2 is

$$G^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{I} \\ \text{II} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Q \\ \langle \hat{q}, q \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ \langle 0, t_0 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(0), \lambda_0 \\ x(1), \lambda_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \langle 0, t_1 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2), \lambda_2 \\ x(3), \lambda_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_2 \\ \langle 0, t_2 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(4), \lambda_4 \\ x(5), \lambda_5 \end{array} \cdots$$

Whenever II plays $\hat{q} = 1$ and some $\hat{t}_n = 1$, a typical play of G^2 is

$$G^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{I} \\ \text{II} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Q \\ \langle \hat{q}, q \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ \langle 0, t_0 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(0) \\ x(1) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \langle 0, t_1 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2) \\ x(3) \end{array} \cdots \\ \cdots \begin{array}{c} T_{n-1} \\ \langle 0, t_{n-1} \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2n-2), \\ x(2n-1) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_n \\ \langle 1, - \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2n), \xi_0 \\ x(2n+1), \xi_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2n+2), \xi_2 \\ x(2n+3), \xi_3 \end{array} \cdots$$

Whenever II plays $\hat{q} = 0$ and some $\hat{t}_n = 1$, a typical play of G^2 is

$$G^2 \begin{array}{c} \text{I} \\ \text{II} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Q \\ \langle \hat{q}, q \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_0 \\ \langle 0, t_0 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(0), \lambda_0 \\ x(1), \lambda_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_1 \\ \langle 0, t_1 \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2), \lambda_2 \\ x(3), \lambda_3 \end{array} \cdots \\ \cdots \begin{array}{c} T_{n-1} \\ \langle 0, t_{n-1} \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2n-2), \lambda_{2n-2} \\ x(2n-1), \lambda_{2n-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} T_n \\ \langle 1, - \rangle \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2n), \xi_0 \\ x(2n+1), \xi_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} x(2n+2), \xi_2 \\ x(2n+3), \xi_3 \end{array} \cdots$$

Let μ be least such that $R_C(q, \mu)$ whenever $\hat{q} = 0$; otherwise μ is undefined. If II plays $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 0, q \rangle$, ordinal auxiliary moves λ_i 's are played and

the λ_i 's are properly ordered with respect to $\bar{x}(i+1)$ and $\langle A_\alpha | \alpha < \omega \cdot (\mu + 1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(0))} | i \leq \mu \rangle$.

Regardless of what II plays for \hat{q} , if II plays $\hat{t}_n = 1$, then ordinal auxiliary moves ξ_i 's are played so that the ξ_i 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha | \alpha < \omega \cdot (n + 1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1^1(\vec{Q}, T_n))} | i \leq n \rangle$.

Player I wins G^2 iff a (legal) position (of odd length) is reached at which II cannot make a (legal) move. G^2 is an open game. Therefore, define for each ordinal α , P_α as the set of positions with ordinal α and let $P = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{ON}} P_\alpha$. If p is a legal position in G^2 , let ℓ_p denote the set of legal positions in G^2 consistent with p . The set of legal positions for G^2 is in $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$. Moreover, whenever p is a legal position in G^2 , the following holds:

v.) If p includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$, then $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ contains ℓ_p . Furthermore, Q is coded by a real in $L(\#_2^1(0))[\#_1]$.

vi.) If p includes moves $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $\ell_p \in L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ and T_n is coded by a real in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$.

Using $\langle P_\alpha | \alpha \in \text{ON} \rangle$, define a wellordering \prec of the legal positions for G^2 such that \prec is definable in $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$ and whenever p is a legal position in G^2 , $\prec | \ell_p$ is a wellordering of the legal positions of G^2 consistent with p and the following hold:

vii.) If p includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $\vec{Q} = \langle Q \rangle$ and $\prec | \ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$. If p includes the move $\langle 0, q \rangle$, then $\vec{Q} = \langle \rangle$ and $\prec | \ell_p$

definable in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(\vec{Q}))} | i \leq \mu \rangle$. (Recall that if $\hat{q} = 0$, μ is least such that $R_C(q, \mu)$.)

viii.) If p includes moves $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $\prec | \ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1(\vec{Q}, T_n))} | i \leq n \rangle$.

By Lemma 0.14, use \prec to define the canonical w.s. s^2 for G^2 . Then s^2 is definable in $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$. Furthermore, if p is a legal position in G^2 , then $s^2 | \ell_p$ is a w.s. for G_p^2 and is definable in any inner model of ZF in which $\prec | \ell_p$ is definable. Therefore, s^2 has the following properties:

Lemma 1.2.1. Let p be a legal position in G^2 . Recall that whenever $\hat{q} = 0$, μ is least such that $R_C(q, \mu)$ and otherwise μ is undefined. Then $s^2 | \ell_p$ is a w.s. for G_p^2 and each of the following hold:

ix.) If p includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $s^2 | \ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$.
 If p includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 0, q \rangle$, then $s^2 | \ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(\vec{Q}))} | i \leq \mu \rangle$.

x.) If p includes moves $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $s^2 | \ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1(\vec{Q}))} | i \leq n \rangle$.

If p is a legal position in G^2 which includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 0, q \rangle$, we use Property (ix) and indiscernibles for $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ to integrate $s^2 | \ell_p$ with respect to the λ_i 's. If p is a legal position in G^2 which includes moves $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then \vec{Q} and T_n are coded by a real in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ and we use Property (x) and indiscernibles for $L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ to integrate $s^2 | \ell_p$ with

resepct to the ξ_i 's.

Claim I: Player I has a w.s. for G_A if he has one for G^2 .

Let's first consider the case in which $\langle \rangle \in P$. Then $s^2 \in L(0^{\#_2})[\#_1]$ is a w.s. for I in G^2 . We use s^2 to define a w.s. s for I in G_A . Define Q so that the position $p_0 = (Q; \langle 1, - \rangle)$ is consistent with s^2 . By Lemma 1.2.1(ix), $s^2|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$. By Theoerm 1.0, integrate $s^2|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ so as to obtain a w.s. $s_0 \in L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ for $A(\vec{Q})$. Let $s(p) = s_0(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); x(2); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall i' \leq i \forall k \neg R_C(\bar{x}(i'), k)$.

Suppose we reach a position such that $\exists i \exists k R_C(\bar{x}(i), k)$. Let i be least such that $\exists k R_C(\bar{x}(i), k)$, and let μ be least such that $R_C(\bar{x}(i), \mu)$. By (iv), i is odd. Let $Q = s^2(\)$ (as above), $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 0, \bar{x}(i) \rangle$, and $p_1 = (Q; \langle 0, q \rangle)$. By Lemma 1.2.1(ix), $s^2|_{\ell_{p_1}}$ is definable in $L[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(0))} | i \leq \mu \rangle$. Since $0^{\#_2^1}$ exists, by Theorem 1.1, integrate $s^2|_{\ell_{p_1}}$ so as to obtain a w.s. $s_1 \in L(0^{\#_2^1})$ for $A(q)$. Let $s(p) = s_1(p)$ for any position p which extends q .

Claim: The strategy s of player I is a w.s. in G_A .

Suppose x is a play of G_A consistent with s . By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_0 \in L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ for $A(Q)$ such that $x(2i) = s_0(x(1); x(3); \dots; x(2i-1))$ whenever $\forall i' \leq 2i \forall n \neg R_C(\bar{x}(i'), n)$. If $\forall i \forall n \neg R_C(\bar{x}(i), n)$ holds, then $x \in A(Q)$ so that $x \in A$ by Theorem 1.0.

Otherwise, $\exists n R_C(\bar{x}(i), n)$ for some least i . By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_1 \in L(0^{\#_2^1})$ for $A(\bar{x}(i))$ such that

$$x(2k) = s_1(x(1); x(3); \dots; x(2k-1)) \text{ whenever } 2k > i.$$

Therefore, $x \in A(\bar{x}(i))$ so that $x \in A$. Thus, s is a win for I.

Claim II: Player II has a w.s. for G_A if he has one for G^2 .

Now let's consider the case $\langle \rangle \notin P$. We integrate II's w.s. s^2 for G^2 to get the w.s. $s \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$ for II in G_A . Let

$$Q = \{\text{positions } q \text{ in } G_A \mid \forall Q' \in L(\#_2^1(0))[\#_1] \langle 0, q \rangle \neq s^2(Q')\}.$$

Then $Q \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$ and $\langle 1, - \rangle = s^2(Q)$. Let $p_0 = (Q; \langle 1, - \rangle)$.

By Lemma 1.2.1(ix), $s^2|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ is a w.s. for $A(Q)$ and is definable in $L(Q)[\#_1]$. By Theorem 1.0, there exists a w.s. $s_0 \in L(Q)[\#_1]$ for the game $A(Q)$. Let $s(p) = s_0(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); x(2); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall i' \leq i \bar{x}(i') \in Q$.

Suppose we reach a position $\bar{x}(i)$ (of least length) such that $\bar{x}(i) \notin Q$. Then i is odd and there exists $Q' \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$ such that the position $p_1 = (Q'; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i) \rangle)$ is consistent with s^2 . By Theorem 1.1, obtain a w.s. $s_1 \in L(\#_2^1(0))$ for $A(\bar{x}(i))$. Let $s(p) = s_1(p)$ for any position p which extends $\bar{x}(i)$.

Claim: The strategy s of player II is a w.s. for G_A .

Suppose x is a play of G_A consistent with s . By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_0 \in L(Q)[\#_1]$ for $A(Q)$ such that $x(2i+1) = s_0(x(0); x(2); \dots; x(2i))$ whenever $\forall i' \leq 2i+1 \bar{x}(i') \in Q$. If $\forall i \bar{x}(i) \in Q$, then $x \notin A(Q)$ so that $x \notin A$.

Otherwise, there exists i such that $\bar{x}(i) \notin Q$ and $\forall j < i \bar{x}(j) \in Q$. By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_1 \in L(\#_2^1(0))$ for $A(\bar{x}(i))$ such that

$x(2k + 1) = s_1(x(0); x(2); \dots; x(2k))$ whenever $2k + 1 \geq i$. Therefore, since s_1 is a w.s. for Π , $x \notin A(\bar{x}(i))$ so that $x \notin A$. Consequently, s is a w.s. in G_A of the player for whom s^2 is a w.s. ■

Now we show that the existence of indiscernibles for $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1^1]$ implies the determinacy of $(\Pi_1^0, \Sigma_1^0)_+^*$. The proof of this theorem is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. If $0^{2\#_2^1}$ exists (i.e. $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1^1]$ has indiscernibles), then $\text{Det}(\Pi_1^0, \Sigma_1^0)_+^*$.

Proof: Assume $L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1^1]$ has an uncountable set C_2^1 of indiscernibles. Let $B \in \Pi_1^0$, $C \in \Sigma_1^0$, $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $m \in \omega$ strongly witness $A \in (\Pi_1^0, \Sigma_1^0)_+^*$.

Then there exist R_B and R_C in Δ_1^0 such that

- i.) $B(x, n) \leftrightarrow \forall k R_B(\bar{x}(k), n)$;
- ii.) $C(x, n) \leftrightarrow \exists k R_C(\bar{x}(k), n)$;
- iii.) if $\neg R_B(\bar{x}(k), n)$ and $\forall j < k R_B(\bar{x}(j), n)$, then k is odd; and
- iv.) if $R_C(\bar{x}(k), n)$ and $\forall j < k \neg R_C(\bar{x}(j), n)$, then k is odd.

Conditions (iii) and (iv) help to simplify the proof.

We describe an open game G^3 which has a w.s. $s^3 \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1^1]$. We complete the proof by integrating s^3 to get the w.s. $s \in L(0^{2\#_2^1})$ for G_A . The moves of G^3 are the same as the moves of G^2 with one exception: In G^3 , ordinal auxiliary moves λ_{2i} and λ_{2i+1} are respectively played with integer moves $x(2i)$ and $x(2i+1)$ whenever $\hat{q} = 1$; whereas, no ordinal auxiliary moves

λ_i are played in G^2 whenever II plays $\hat{q} = 1$.

If all $\hat{t}_i = 0$, then the play of G^3 is

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{I} & Q & T_0 & x(0),\lambda_0 & T_1 & x(2),\lambda_2 & T_2 & x(4),\lambda_4 \\ \text{II} & \langle \hat{q}, q \rangle & \langle 0, t_0 \rangle & x(1),\lambda_1 & \langle 0, t_1 \rangle & x(3),\lambda_3 & \langle 0, t_2 \rangle & x(5),\lambda_5 \cdot \dots \end{array}$$

If some $\hat{t}_n = 1$, the play of G^3 is

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{I} & Q & T_0 & x(0),\lambda_0 & T_1 & x(2),\lambda_2 & & \\ \text{II} & \langle \hat{q}, q \rangle & \langle 0, t_0 \rangle & x(1),\lambda_1 & \langle 0, t_1 \rangle & x(3),\lambda_3 & \dots & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & \dots & T_{n-1} & x(2n-2),\lambda_{2n-2} & T_n & x(2n),\xi_0 & x(2n+2),\xi_2 & \\ & & \langle 0, t_{n-1} \rangle & x(2n-1),\lambda_{2n-1} & \langle 1, - \rangle & x(2n+1),\xi_1 & x(2n+3),\xi_3 & \dots \end{array}$$

The moves of G^3 following $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ constitute a play of $G^1(q)$ whenever II plays $\hat{q} = 0$, and they constitute a play of $G^1(Q)$ whenever II plays $\hat{q} = 1$. Let $\vec{Q} = \langle Q \mid \hat{q} = 1 \rangle$. Player I must play so that $Q \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$ and each $T_i \in L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$. Moreover, the Borel auxiliary moves must satisfy the same conditions as in G^2 : $\{q \mid \exists k R_C(q, k)\}$ determines the Borel auxiliary moves Q and $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$, and the sequence $\langle (T_n; \langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle) \mid \forall j < n \hat{t}_j = 0 \rangle$ of Borel auxiliary moves and the Π_1^0 set B are related via R_B .

If $\hat{q} = 0$, let μ be least such that $R_C(q, \mu)$; otherwise, let $\mu = m$. The λ_i 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \cdot (\mu + 1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(\vec{Q}))} \mid i \leq \mu \rangle$. (Notice $\#_2^1(\vec{Q})$ can equal $2\#_2^1(0)$.) If $\hat{t}_n = 1$, the ξ_i 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \cdot (n + 1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1^1(\vec{Q}, T_n))} \mid i \leq n \rangle$.

Player I wins G^3 iff a (legal) position (of odd length) is reached at which II cannot make a (legal) move. G^3 is an open game and therefore we define, for each ordinal α , P_α as the set of positions with ordinal α and let $P = \bigcup_{\alpha \in ON} P_\alpha$. If p is a legal position in G^3 , let ℓ_p denote the set of legal positions

in G^3 consistent with p . The set of legal positions for G^3 is in $L(0\#_2^1)[\#_1]$.

Moreover, whenever p is a legal position of G^3 , the following properties hold:

v.) If p includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$, then $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ contains ℓ_p . Furthermore, Q is coded by a real in $L(2\#_2^1(0))$.

vi.) If p includes moves $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $\ell_p \in L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ and \vec{Q} and T_n are coded by a real in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$.

$L(0\#_2^1)[\#_1]$ has a definable wellordering \prec of the legal positions for G^3 such that whenever p is a legal position in G^3 , $\prec \upharpoonright \ell_p$ is a wellordering of the legal positions of G^3 consistent with p and the following hold:

vii.) If p includes moves $\langle 0, q \rangle$, then $\prec \upharpoonright \ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(\vec{Q}))} \mid i \leq \mu \rangle$. (Recall that if $\hat{q} = 0$, μ is least such that $R_C(q, \mu)$, and otherwise $\mu = m$.)

viii.) If p includes moves $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $\prec \upharpoonright \ell_p$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1^1(\vec{Q}, T_n))} \mid i \leq n \rangle$.

By Lemma 0.14, use \prec to define s^3 to be the canonical w.s. for G^3 . Then s^3 is definable in $L(0\#_2^1)[\#_1]$. Furthermore, if p is a legal position in G^3 , then $s^3 \upharpoonright \ell_p$ is a w.s. for G_p^3 in any inner model of ZF in which $\prec \upharpoonright \ell_p$ is definable. Therefore, s^3 has the following properties:

Lemma 1.3.1. Let p be a legal position in G^3 . Recall that whenever $\hat{q} = 0$, μ is least such that $R_C(q, \mu)$ and otherwise $\mu = m$. Then $s^3 \upharpoonright \ell_p$ is a w.s. for G_p^3 and each of the following hold:

ix.) If p includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$, then $s^3|_{\ell_p}$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(\vec{Q}))} | i \leq \mu \rangle$.

x.) If p includes the move $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then $s^3|_{\ell_p}$ is definable in $L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1^1(\vec{Q}, T_n))} | i \leq n \rangle$.

If p is a legal position in G^3 which includes the move $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$, we use Property (ix) and indiscernibles for $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ to integrate $s^3|_{\ell_p}$ with respect to the λ_i 's. If p is a legal position in G^3 which includes the moves $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, then T_n is coded by a real in $L(\vec{Q})[\#_1]$ and we use Property (x) and indiscernibles for $L(\vec{Q}, T_n)$ to integrate $s^3|_{\ell_p}$ with respect to the ξ_i 's.

Claim I: Player I has a w.s. for G_A if he has one for G^3 .

Let's first consider the case in which $\langle \rangle \in P$. Then $s^3 \in L(0\#_2^1)[\#_1]$ is a w.s. for I in G^3 . We use s^3 to define a w.s. s for I in G_A . Let $Q = s^3(\langle \rangle)$, $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 1, - \rangle$, and $p_0 = (Q; \langle 1, - \rangle)$. By Lemma 1.3.1(ix), $s^3|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ is definable in $L(Q)[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(Q))} | i \leq m \rangle$. Since $L(Q)[\#_1]$ has indiscernibles, by Theorem 1.1 obtain a w.s. $s_0 \in L(\#_2^1(Q))$ for $A(Q)$ by integrating $s^3|_{\ell_{p_0}}$. Let $s(p) = s_0(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); x(2); \dots; x(i-1))$ such that $\forall i' \leq i \forall n \neg R_C(\bar{x}(i'), n)$.

Suppose we reach a position such that $\exists i \exists n R_C(\bar{x}(i), n)$. Let i be least such that $\exists n R_C(\bar{x}(i), n)$. Let $Q = s^3(\)$ (as above), $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle = \langle 0, \bar{x}(i) \rangle$, and $p_1 = (Q; \langle 0, q \rangle)$. By Lemma 1.3.1(ix), $s^3|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ is definable in $L[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(0))} | i \leq \mu \rangle$. Since $L[\#_1]$ has indiscernibles, by Theorem 1.1, integrate

$s^3|_{\ell_{p_1}}$ so as to obtain a w.s. $s_1 \in L(0^{\#_2^1})$ for $A(q)$. Let $s(p) = s_1(p)$ for any position p which extends q .

Claim: The strategy s of player I is a w.s. in G_A .

Suppose x is a play of G_A consistent with s . By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_0 \in L(\#_2^1(Q))$ for $A(Q)$ (where $Q = s^3(\)$) such that $x(2i) = s_0(x(1); x(3); \dots; x(2i - 1))$ whenever $\forall i' \leq 2i \forall n \neg R_C(\bar{x}(i'), n)$. If $\forall i \forall n \neg R_C(\bar{x}(i), n)$ holds, then $x \in A(Q)$ so that $x \in A$ by Theorem 1.1.

Otherwise, $\exists n R_C(\bar{x}(i), n)$ for some least i . By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_1 \in L(0^{\#_2^1})$ for $A(\bar{x}(i))$ such that

$$x(2k) = s_1(x(1); x(3); \dots; x(2k - 1)) \text{ whenever } 2k > i.$$

Therefore, $x \in A(\bar{x}(i))$ so that $x \in A$. Thus, s is a win for I.

Claim II: Player II has a w.s. for G_A if he has one for G^3 .

Now let's consider the case $\langle \rangle \notin P$. We integrate II's w.s. s^3 for G^3 to get the w.s. $s \in L(0^{2\#_2^1})$ for II in G_A . Let

$$Q = \{\text{positions } q \text{ in } G_A \mid \forall Q' \in L(\#_2^1(0))[\#_1] \langle 0, q \rangle \neq s^3(Q')\}.$$

Then $\langle 1, - \rangle = s^3(Q)$ and $Q \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$. Let $p_0 = (Q; \langle 1, - \rangle)$. By Lemma 1.3.1(ix), $s^3|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ is a w.s. for $A(Q)$ and is definable in $L(Q)[\#_1]$ from $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(Q))} \mid i \leq m \rangle$. Since indiscernibles for $L(Q)[\#_1]$ exist, by Theorem 1.1, integrate $s^3|_{\ell_{p_0}}$ so as to obtain a w.s. $s_0 \in L(\#_2^1(Q))$ for the game $A(Q)$. Let $s(p) = s_0(p)$ for any position $p = (x(0); x(1); x(2); \dots; x(i - 1))$ such that $\forall i' \leq i \bar{x}(i') \in Q$.

Suppose we reach a position $\bar{x}(i)$ (of least length) such that $\bar{x}(i) \notin Q$. Then i is odd and there exists $Q' \in L(0^{\#_2^1})[\#_1]$ such that the position $p_1 = (Q'; \langle 0, \bar{x}(i) \rangle)$ is consistent with s^3 . By Theorem 1.1, obtain a w.s. $s_1 \in L(\#_1^1(0))$ for $A(\bar{x}(i))$ by appropriately integrating $s^3|_{\ell_{p_1}}$. Let $s(p) = s_1(p)$ for any position p which extends $\bar{x}(i)$.

Claim: The strategy s of player II is a w.s. for G_A .

Suppose x is a play of G_A consistent with s . By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_0 \in L(\#_2^1(Q))$ for $A(Q)$ such that

$$x(2i) = s_0(x(1); x(3); \dots; x(2i-1)) \text{ whenever } \forall i' \leq 2i \bar{x}(i') \in Q.$$

If $\forall i \bar{x}(i) \in Q$, then $x \notin A(Q)$ so that $x \notin A$.

Otherwise, there exists i such that $\bar{x}(i) \notin Q$ and $\forall j < i \bar{x}(j) \in Q$. By the definition of s , there exists a w.s. $s_1 \in L(\#_2^1(0))$ for $A(\bar{x}(i))$ such that $x(2k+1) = s_1(x(0); x(2); \dots; x(2k))$ whenever $2k+1 \geq i$. Therefore, since s_1 is a w.s. for II, $x \notin A(\bar{x}(i))$ so that $x \notin A$. Consequently, s is a w.s. in G_A of the player for whom s^3 is a w.s. ■

Definition 1.2. Let $B \in \Pi_1^0$, $C \in \Sigma_1^0$, $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $m \in \omega$ strongly witness $A \in (\Pi_1^0, \Sigma_1^0)_+^*$. Then we refer to the auxiliary game G^3 described in the Proof of Theorem 1.3 as *the G^3 auxiliary game determined by $B \in \Pi_1^0$, $C \in \Sigma_1^0$, $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $m \in \omega$.*

Suppose $\vec{U} = \langle U_i \mid i < \eta \rangle$ and $\vec{u} = \langle u_i \mid i < \theta \rangle$ respectively are a finite sequence of I-imposed subgames of G_A and a sequence of legal positions of

G_A . Then *the* $G^3(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ auxiliary game determined by B , C , $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $m \in \omega$ is the game which has exactly the same moves as G^3 , player I wins iff a position is reached at which player II cannot make a (legal) move, and the moves are subject to the following conditions:

- i.) Each $\bar{x}(i) \in \bigcap_{i < \eta} U_i$ and each $\bar{x}(i)$ must be consistent with every u_i .
- ii.) $Q \in L(\#_2^1(\vec{U}))[\#_1]$ (where $\vec{Q} = \langle Q \mid \hat{q} = 1 \rangle$).
- iii.) $T_i \in L(\vec{U}, \vec{Q})[\#_1]$.
- iv.) The λ_i 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \cdot (\mu + 1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_2^1(\vec{U}, \vec{Q}))} \mid i \leq \mu \rangle$ (where μ is least such that $R_C(q, \mu)$ if $\hat{q} = 0$ and otherwise $\mu = m$). (Notice $\#_2^1(\vec{U}, \vec{Q})$ can equal $2\#_2^1(\vec{U})$.)
- v.) If $\hat{t}_n = 1$, the ξ_i 's are properly ordered with respect to $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega \cdot (n+1) \rangle$ using $\langle \omega_{i+1}^{L(\#_1^1(\vec{U}, \vec{Q}, T_n))} \mid i \leq n \rangle$.
- vi.) $\{q \mid \exists k R_C(q, k)\}$ determines the Borel auxiliary moves Q and $\langle \hat{q}, q \rangle$.
- vii.) The sequence $\langle (T_n; \langle \hat{t}_n, t_n \rangle) \mid \forall j < n \hat{t}_j = 0 \rangle$ of Borel auxiliary moves and the Π_1^0 set B are related via R_B .

These conditions are analogous to the conditions for the moves of G^3 . The last two are conditions which the moves of G^3 must satisfy. The other conditions are derived by changing the conditions for the moves of G^3 so that they are consistent with \vec{U} and \vec{u} . We refer to $G^3(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ instead of the $G^3(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ auxiliary game determined by B , C , $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $m \in \omega$ whenever B , C , $\langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle$, and $m \in \omega$ are clear from the context. Analogous to

Theorem 1.3, we have the following:

Corollary 1.3.1. Let $B, C, \langle A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega^2 \rangle, m, A, \vec{U}$, and \vec{u} be as in Definition 1.2. Let p be a legal position of a game G^* such that the moves of G^* following p constitute a play of $G^3(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$. Suppose $2\#_2^1(\vec{U})$ exists, \vec{U} has a wellordering definable in $L(\vec{U})$, and s^* is a w.s. for G^* such that $s^*|_{\ell_p} \in L(\#_2^1(\vec{U}))[\#_1]$. Then $s^*|_{\ell_p}$ can be integrated so as to obtain a w.s. $s_p \in L(2\#_2^1(\vec{U}))$ for $A(\vec{U}; \vec{u})$ such that the following hold:

- i.) s_p is a w.s. of the player for whom s^* is a w.s.
- ii.) If s^* is a w.s. for I, \hat{p} is a position consistent with s_p , and the moves in \hat{p} of player II are consistent with \vec{u} , then $\hat{p} \in \bigcap_{i < \eta} U_i$. Therefore, if s^* is a w.s. for I and x is a play consistent with s_p , then $x \in A(\vec{u})$.
- iii.) Let \hat{p} be a position consistent with s_p and with \vec{U} . If the moves in \hat{p} of the player for whom s_p is not a w.s. are consistent with \vec{u} , then \hat{p} is consistent with \vec{u} . ■